## IN THE

# UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

# FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

# NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD,

Petitioner,

vs.

## HOLLY-GENERAL COMPANY, DIVISION OF SIEGLER CORPORATION,

Respondent.

#### ON PETITION FOR ENFORCEMENT OF AN ORDER OF THE NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD

PETITION FOR REHEARING BY RESPONDENT HOLLY-GENERAL COMPANY, DIVISION OF SIEGLER CORPORATION

> SWEENEY, IRWIN & FOYE By: PETER W. IRWIN

> > 639 South Spring Street Los Angeles 14, California

Attorneys for Respondent

JUL 2 0 1961

LED

FRANK H S HMID CLERK

#### IN THE

# UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

#### FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

## NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD,

Petitioner,

vs.

# HOLLY-GENERAL COMPANY, DIVISION OF SIEGLER CORPORATION,

Respondent.

#### ON PETITION FOR ENFORCEMENT OF AN ORDER OF THE NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD

PETITION FOR REHEARING BY RESPONDENT HOLLY-GENERAL COMPANY, DIVISION OF SIEGLER CORPORATION

> SWEENEY, IRWIN & FOYE By: PETER W. IRWIN

> > 639 South Spring Street Los Angeles 14, California

Attorneys for Respondent



No. 17304

## IN THE

# UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

### FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

#### NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD,

Petitioner,

vs.

HOLLY-GENERAL COMPANY, DIVISION OF SIEGLER CORPORATION,

Respondent.

# PETITION FOR REHEARING

TO THE HONORABLE:

GILBERT H. JERTBERG, Circuit Judge M. OLIVER KOELSCH, Circuit Judge, and JAMES M. CARTER, District Judge

Respondent, HOLLY-GENERAL COMPANY, DIVISION OF SIEGLER CORPORATION, hereby petitions for a rehearing to reconsider the judgment entered in this action on June 29, 1962, on the following grounds:

> 1. The case of N. L. R. B. vs. GLOBE AUTOMATIC SPRINKLER, 199 F. 2d 64 (C. A. 3) should control



the instant case.

2. The order of the Court is too broad in directing the Company to execute the contract in view of the fact that 3-1/2 years have elapsed since certification; evidence should be taken as to whether or not conditions are the same as during the initial bargaining period.

Undersigned counsel certifies that this petition is not interposed for delay and that in his judgment it is well founded.

Dated at Los Angeles, California this 26th day of July, 1962.

#### SWEENEY, IRWIN & FOYE

/s/ Peter W. Irwin PETER W. IRWIN

Attorneys for HOLLY-GENERAL COMPANY, DIVISION OF SIEGLER CORPORATION, Respondent.

